Describe

Fully Integrated Deconstruction & Salvage Management System Specification

Technical Field

This disclosure relates to construction and demolition technologies, and more specifically to systems and methods for identifying deconstruction opportunities and managing the salvage of building materials. The system combines permit data mining, lead identification, contractor data enrichment, automated customer relationship management (CRM) outreach, drone-assisted site appraisal, decision logic for donation versus purchase of salvaged materials, automated document generation, and support for both nonprofit and for-profit salvage operations.

Background

Traditional demolition of buildings often results in reusable materials being discarded in landfills, missing opportunities for reuse, tax benefits, and environmental conservation[1][2]. Deconstruction,the careful dismantling of structures to salvage materials,can yield significant value by allowing materials to be **donated** to charities or **sold** for reuse. Donation of salvaged building components through a qualified 501(c)(3) nonprofit enables property owners to claim a tax deduction at fair market value[2]. For example, when a house is deconstructed and the materials are donated, an IRS Form 8283 is used to document the noncash charitable contribution, often yielding a sizable tax write-off if properly appraised and filed[3]. However, coordinating these benefits is complex,it requires finding suitable projects, engaging contractors, conducting appraisals, and handling compliance (such as obtaining a qualified appraisal and **IRS Form 8283** for donations over $5,000[4]).

Existing solutions are fragmented. Municipal building permit databases contain raw information on upcoming demolitions or major renovations, but there is no integrated tool to automatically harvest those leads. Sales CRMs exist for general lead tracking, and some nonprofits manage donation paperwork manually, but there is no end-to-end platform automating the identification of demolition projects and seamlessly managing the salvage operation from initial contact through material recovery and documentation.

Thus, there is a need for a **fully integrated system** that (1) mines permit data to spot valuable deconstruction opportunities, (2) enriches those leads with contractor and project details, (3) automates outreach (e.g. phone calls and emails) to engage stakeholders, (4) conducts on-site material valuations using drones and software, and (5) decides whether a donation-based approach or direct purchase is optimal, followed by generating the required contracts and forms. Such a system would streamline salvage operations, increase reuse of materials, and ensure stakeholders (owners, contractors, nonprofits) realize financial benefits (tax deductions or cash returns) with minimal friction.

Summary of the Invention

Overview: Disclosed is a comprehensive **Deconstruction & Salvage Management System** (referred to as "the system") that identifies active construction or demolition projects suitable for material salvage and manages the entire salvage process. The system is comprised of interconnected modules performing: **data ingestion**, **lead identification**, **data enrichment**, **automated outreach**, **drone-based inspection**, **incentive decision-making**, **document generation**, and **operations tracking**. It supports multiple operating models,including nonprofit donation-driven salvage and for-profit material purchases,and incorporates contractor incentive mechanisms.

Key features of the system include:

- **Automated Permit Ingestion:** The system automatically pulls building permit records from various city/county databases (e.g. via APIs like Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS)) and from bulk data sources (CSV uploads). It normalizes disparate data formats into a unified feed of pending construction projects. Critical fields such as permit type, project description, and valuation are extracted for analysis. For instance, each permit's *valuation* (estimated project cost) is captured, as permits often list a valuation amount used to calculate fees[5]. This provides a clue to project scale.

- **Lead Identification Logic:** An analytics module evaluates incoming permit records to flag **viable deconstruction projects**. It uses configurable filters on fields like *permit type* and *valuation*. Permits explicitly for **building demolition** or major **alterations** are prioritized, as these indicate structures being removed or heavily renovated (hence likely to yield salvageable materials)[6]. The system applies a valuation threshold (e.g. permits with valuation above a certain dollar amount) to target high-value projects, and keywords (e.g. "demolition", "tear down", "gut remodel") in the work description to refine the selection. By filtering permits by value, type, status, and property specifics, the system emulates advanced query capabilities found in professional permit databases[7]. The output of this module is a list of **candidate projects** for deconstruction outreach, each with basic details (location, owner/contractor, scope of work, valuation, issuance date, etc.).

- **Contractor & Project Data Enrichment:** For each candidate project, the system gathers additional context to **qualify the lead**. It cross-references contractor license databases and directories to pull the contractor's full business name, license status, contact information (phone, email), and address. Using the permit's contractor license number or name, it can automatically match to public records and even sources like LinkedIn or business registries to obtain emails and phone numbers[8]. The system also geocodes the project address to get latitude/longitude and maps it to the service area of available salvage teams. This enrichment ensures that when outreach occurs, the system knows **who** to contact (e.g. the general contractor or owner) and has verified phone numbers, saving manual research time. Duplicate leads are recognized and merged, and a confidence score may be assigned to each data match[8]. By augmenting raw permit leads with enriched data, the system produces a *ready-to-contact* pipeline of opportunities.

- **Automated Outreach & CRM Integration:** A built-in CRM module manages outreach to the leads. Once a lead is qualified, the system initiates an **outreach sequence**,for example, scheduling an automated phone call to the contractor or property owner to introduce the salvage service. The CRM module leverages a **Sonic Lead - style calling system** with auto-dial capabilities, call scripting, and outcome logging. Specifically, the system reuses the core client-calling module from the Sonic Lead Hub CRM (integrated via API or embedded telephony service) to place calls and track progress. This module can auto-dial the contact, play an introductory message or connect to an available representative, and then record the call result (e.g. answered, voicemail, no answer, number disconnected, etc.). Calls are logged automatically along with timestamps and any notes entered by reps. **Follow-up cadence** is managed through predefined rules,for example, if no contact is made on first attempt, the system schedules a follow-up call or text after 2 days. If contact is made but the lead is not ready, it can schedule a reminder in a week, and so on. All interactions are logged in an audit trail.

- **Milestone Tracking & Rep Competition:** The CRM interface provides a pipeline view of each deal's status (e.g. *Contacted*, *Site Visit Scheduled*, *Offer Made*, *Completed*). As outreach progresses, the system advances the lead through milestones. It also employs **gamification/competition logic** inspired by Sonic Lead's CRM,for instance, tracking the number of successful calls or conversions per representative and displaying a leaderboard to incentivize prompt follow-up. Each representative or automated agent is assigned new leads in a round-robin fashion, but if a lead goes cold beyond a certain time, the system can reassign it, ensuring no opportunity is neglected. This competitive tracking motivates the team to engage leads quickly and efficiently.

- **Drone-Assisted Walk-Through & Auto-Appraisal:** Once a lead expresses interest, the system schedules a **site inspection**. Uniquely, this inspection can be performed with an **indoor/outdoor drone equipped with video and sensor payloads**. The system's **drone module** allows a pilot (or autonomous program) to fly through the structure to capture high-resolution video and LiDAR scans of the building's interior and exterior. The captured data is analyzed in real-time (or immediately after) by an onboard AI or cloud-based software to identify salvageable fixtures and materials. Using computer vision, the system recognizes items like hardwood flooring, kitchen cabinets, appliances, lighting fixtures, doors, windows, lumber, etc., and quantifies their condition and approximate resale value. An integrated database of material resale values (updated with market prices and historical sales) is used to estimate the **Fair Market Value (FMV)** of each item. The result is an **auto-generated appraisal report** listing the inventory of recoverable materials and their total estimated value if resold or donated. This drone appraisal mechanism provides a rapid, objective baseline for deciding the salvage strategy. The drone also improves safety and speed,capturing angles and spaces that humans might miss,and creates a visual record for later verification.

- **Donation vs. Cash Offer Decision Engine:** With appraisal data in hand, the system's decision logic determines the optimal **incentive structure** to propose to the client (property owner or contractor). Two primary options are supported: (a) a **Donation-based incentive** or (b) a **Direct cash purchase** of materials. In a donation scenario, the owner agrees to donate the salvaged materials to a partnered nonprofit (or the nonprofit arm of the system operator) in exchange for a tax deduction. The system calculates the potential tax deduction value using the appraised FMV of the materials and provides an estimate to the owner (e.g. "Approximately $X in tax-deductible donation value"). It ensures compliance by noting that if the donation value exceeds $5,000, an independent qualified appraisal is required and **IRS Form 8283 Section B** must be completed and signed by the appraiser and donee[4]. The system can integrate with third-party appraisal services to facilitate this,sending the inventory and photos to a certified appraiser who returns a signed valuation report. The software then populates a digital Form 8283 with the required information. In the **cash offer** scenario, the system computes a direct purchase offer based on the materials' resale value minus handling costs (and a profit margin if applicable). For example, if high-value items like antique fixtures or appliances are present, the system might offer the owner a lump sum (or a revenue share) to acquire the items for resale. The decision engine may compare the net benefit of donation vs cash: donation benefit equals appraised value * owner's tax rate (approximate tax savings)[3], whereas cash benefit is an immediate payment. Other factors such as the owner's preference, timeline (donations might be faster to arrange if cash budget is limited), and goodwill considerations are also factored in. The system's UI might present both options side by side for the user to choose, or automatically recommend one. For instance, if the owner is a developer not interested in tax deductions, a cash offer is more enticing; if the owner is a homeowner with large tax liability, donation may yield higher effective value. The logic ensures the chosen path maximizes the likelihood of closing the deal.

- **Contract Generation & Digital Signing:** Once the owner/lead agrees to proceed (either via donation or sale), the system dynamically generates the necessary paperwork. For a **donation**, it creates a **Donation Contract or Donation Agreement** transferring ownership of salvaged items to the nonprofit entity, an **Indemnification Waiver** protecting the salvage crew during removal, and proof of the nonprofit's insurance and 501(c)(3) status. It also prepares the IRS **Form 8283** with details of the donation (item descriptions, condition, appraised value, donee info) ready for signatures. For a **cash sale**, it generates a **Purchase Agreement** outlining the items to be removed and the payment terms, along with any necessary liability waivers. All documents are prepared with merge fields filled in (project address, names, dates, item lists, etc.) from the system's data. The system includes an integrated **e-signature** capability (or integrates an API from services like DocuSign/HelloSign) so that the owner and other parties can sign electronically. The contracts and forms are sent via a secure link or email to relevant parties. The digital signature module ensures authenticity and tracks when each party signs. Once signed, copies are stored in the system and emailed to stakeholders. This removes friction from the closing process,users can sign on their phone or computer without printing. The system also attaches evidence of insurance (e.g. the salvage operator's general liability

insurance certificate) to give owners peace of mind that the deconstruction work is covered.

- **Project Execution & Tracking:** After contracts are signed, the salvage operation is scheduled. The system adds the project to a calendar and notifies the salvage crew (or partners) via the dashboard. On the scheduled date, the crew performs the deconstruction and removal of materials. The system allows field operators to use a mobile app to check off tasks, take photos of removed items, and record any deviations (e.g. some materials too damaged to salvage). If it's a donation project, the system compiles a final **donation receipt** listing all items actually salvaged (this is often needed for the appraiser to finalize their valuation and for the donor's tax records). The receipt can be automatically sent to the owner and the appraiser. The system tracks these steps as milestones: *Permits Ingested → Lead Identified → Contact Made → Inspection Done → Contract Signed → Salvage Completed → Documentation Delivered.* At each stage, the dashboard updates the deal status. In the case of a donation, once the Form 8283 is signed by all required parties (donor, donee, appraiser), the system can even forward a copy to the donor's email with instructions for tax filing. In a cash scenario, it can trigger the payment (if integrated with a payment system) or mark it for the accounting team. All these events are logged for auditing.

- **User Dashboard & Control Panel:** The system provides an administrative **dashboard** for users (salvage operators, program managers, etc.) to monitor and manage the pipeline of deals. This web-based interface shows summaries of new leads, active engagements, and completed projects. Users can filter by status (e.g. "Show all leads awaiting inspection" or "Show all projects in Los Angeles"). Each project's detail page contains all data and documents related to that deal,permit info, contact logs, drone appraisal results, chosen incentive (donation/cash), and generated forms. There are controls to adjust outreach cadence (e.g. pause outreach on a lead, or manually trigger an email or call), assign or reassign leads to representatives, and input manual notes if needed. The dashboard also features **analytics** such as total materials salvaged (in tons or dollars), number of projects converted, tax deduction value delivered to clients, revenue from material sales, etc., providing insights into the program's impact.

- **Fail-safe Data Freshness & Alerts:** The system continually monitors the health and freshness of its data sources. For instance, if a city's permit API changes format or becomes unreachable, or if a scheduled CSV import hasn't been updated as expected, the system's monitoring service will detect it (e.g. by noticing no new permits have been ingested from a source in a given time window). It will then raise an **alert** to administrators (via email, SMS, or dashboard notification) indicating a potential issue with data ingestion. In some cases, the system can automatically attempt to re-authenticate or adapt to minor format changes (using adaptive parsing or fallback endpoints). But if the feed is truly down, it flags it for human intervention. This fail-safe design ensures the system's lead generation component doesn't silently fail,any lapse in incoming permit data triggers a prompt investigation. Additionally, the system may maintain a heartbeat check on critical external services (such as the telephony API for

calls, or the e-signature service). If any go down, alerts are issued so that no lead falls through cracks due to technical issues.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. A illustrates an example of the system's CRM calling interface and logic. (FIG. 1A: Sonic Lead Hub CRM icon; FIG. 1B: Call outcome logging UI).

FIG. B shows a screenshot of a permit search API interface, representative of how the system ingests and queries building permit data for leads.

FIG. C depicts a drone performing an on-site inspection of a construction project, capturing data for the system's automated appraisal of salvageable materials.

FIG. D is a conceptual diagram of a generated donation receipt or contract document, symbolizing the system's automatic paperwork generation and digital signing capability.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a deconstruction lead-generation platform.

FIG. 2 is a process flow chart illustrating lead qualification and outreach.

FIG. 3 depicts a drone-based inspection and appraisal subsystem

FIG. 4 is a swim-lane diagram showing decision logic and contract generation.

FIG. 5 is a three-lane timeline of data artefacts and physical actions.

Detailed Description of Embodiments

The following detailed description presents various embodiments of the integrated system, with reference to the accompanying figures. These embodiments are examples and are not limiting; features from one embodiment may be combined with others in practice.

System Architecture

In one embodiment, the system's architecture (100) is organized as a pipeline of modules working in concert (see FIG. B for a permit API example interface relevant to module 110). The primary components include: **Data Ingestion Module (110)**, **Lead Filtering Module (120)**, **Enrichment Module (130)**, **Outreach/CRM Module (140)**, **Inspection & Valuation Module (150)**, **Decision Engine (160)**, **Document Generator (170)**, and **Dashboard/Monitoring Module (180)**. Each module is implemented as a combination of software services, and the modules communicate via a central database or message bus.

- **Data Ingestion Module (110):** This module connects to external permit data sources. In an embodiment, it uses the Socrata Open Data API for cities that publish permit data (e.g., LADBS in Los Angeles) and REST endpoints provided by third-party aggregators. It can also accept manual file uploads from users for jurisdictions without APIs. The module normalizes data from various schemas into a common format. It updates frequently (e.g., daily or in real-time streams where available). The ingestion module

handles rate limits and errors gracefully,for example, if an API is down, it will retry and alert (as discussed with fail-safe alerts). A mapping configuration allows adding new cities easily, defining how to interpret fields (e.g., one city's "estimated_cost" field is another's "valuation"; one might use "permit_type_code" vs another's descriptive type). The module (110) writes new permit records into a Leads Queue for further processing. It also marks duplicates or updates (if a permit status changes, it updates the record rather than creating a new lead).

- **Lead Filtering Module (120):** This component continuously monitors the Leads Queue and evaluates each permit record against criteria. Embodiment variations allow user-defined filters: for instance, an admin can set a minimum valuation (e.g. $50,000) and select permit types of interest (demolition, major alteration, etc.). The module implements these as rules; any permit not meeting them is discarded or tagged as low-priority. Permits that pass are converted into **Lead records**. The filtering logic may also incorporate geospatial rules (e.g., focus on certain cities or zip codes where the salvage operation is active). In one embodiment, the module references a dictionary of keywords to look for in the permit description/scope (such as "remove", "demolish", "replace"),if a permit has high valuation and includes "demolition" or similar terms, it is marked as a **hot lead**. This identification process leverages known indicators of salvage potential, as described previously (for example, *Bldg-Demolition* permits explicitly signal teardown[9]). Each identified lead is assigned a unique Lead ID and passed to enrichment.

- **Data Enrichment Module (130):** Upon receiving a lead, module 130 augments it with additional data. It queries internal and external databases: e.g., a **Contractor License DB** which might be provided by state licensing boards, to find the contractor's registered business name, license expiration, and any contact info on file. It also uses web scraping or APIs to find contact details,for instance, performing a search by the contractor name + city to find a phone number, or using a service that provides business contact data. If multiple contacts are found, the system ranks them (perhaps using heuristic: if a number appears on the official license record or the contractor's website, it's preferred). The enrichment module also obtains the **owner's name** if available from the permit (some permits list the owner or applicant). In one embodiment, the system integrates with a property ownership database (or uses the permit's owner field) to get the owner's mailing address and cross-reference for a phone or email via data brokers. **Geolocation** is performed by sending the address to a geocoding service (like Google Maps API or an open alternative), retrieving coordinates and neighborhood info. The module might also tag the property type (residential vs commercial) if not already given. Enrichment is crucial for effective outreach,as noted in a similar permit enrichment tool, matching contractor names to public directories and appending phone/email/LinkedIn can be done reliably[8]. This system employs those techniques, including deduplication (to avoid contacting the same contractor too frequently if they have multiple permits) and confidence scoring (to indicate how certain we are that a phone number belongs to the right person). Once enriched, the lead moves to the outreach stage.

- **Outreach/CRM Module (140):** Module 140 manages engagement with the lead contacts. In one embodiment, it is built atop an open-source CRM with custom

modifications (for example, incorporating the **Sonic Lead Hub** call automation library). The module creates a **Lead Profile** for each project, containing all info, and schedules outreach activities. The first action is typically a call. The system either uses a Voice-over-IP integration (Twilio or similar) to dial out automatically or prompts a human operator with a one-click dial from the dashboard. A pre-recorded message or dynamic script can be used. For example, when a call connects, a representative might say: "Hello, I see you have a project at 123 Elm St. We offer eco-friendly deconstruction services that could save your client money or provide a tax benefit. Are you interested in a free salvage appraisal?" If the contact is interested, the rep can instantly schedule a site visit (module 150) via the same interface. All call outcomes are logged,the rep selects from predefined results (as shown in FIG. 2B, e.g. *Contacted,Interested*, *Callback Requested*, *No Answer*, *Wrong Number*, etc.). The system's logic may automatically handle certain outcomes; for instance, if "No Answer," it can enqueue an automatic SMS text or an email introducing the service and will try calling again later. If "Wrong Number," it flags the lead for data team review. The CRM module (140) also manages **email templates** and text messages as secondary channels. It can automatically send an introductory email with a brochure to warm leads. All such communications are templated and personalized with the project details. The **competition logic** in module 140 tracks each rep's performance (calls made, conversions achieved). Reps can log in to the dashboard to see their assignments and progress. In some embodiments, an AI chatbot could even handle initial conversations via text or chat if a number is text-enabled, answering basic questions and then handing off to a human for scheduling.

- **Inspection & Valuation Module (150):** Once a lead agrees to an evaluation, module 150 kicks in. This module includes the **drone hardware integration** and the **valuation algorithms**. A drone (which could be a quadcopter equipped with a high-res camera, 3D scanner, and perhaps depth sensors) is either dispatched to the site with a pilot or shipped to the client for self-operation (in some advanced scenarios). The system can support both **outdoor scans** (roof, exterior structure) and **indoor scans** (flying through rooms). Using autonomous flight software, the drone can follow a pre-planned path through the building, avoiding obstacles (modern indoor inspection drones have collision avoidance and can navigate confined spaces). The video feed is either live-streamed to the system or recorded and uploaded. The module's analysis subroutine then processes the imagery: using object recognition models trained on construction materials, it tags recognizable items,e.g. identifies a set of kitchen cabinets, counts 10 units, recognizes they are solid oak in good condition, and estimates a resale value of \$5,000 for the set based on a database of similar cabinet sales. It does the same for appliances (e.g., "Stainless steel refrigerator, 5 years old, estimated \$800"), plumbing fixtures, lumber (it might estimate linear feet of lumber recoverable from the frame), and so on. The module also evaluates material condition (via image analysis for damage, wear) and notes any hazardous materials that might complicate salvage (e.g. if it spots what looks like asbestos insulation, it flags it). The outcome is an **Appraisal Report**. In some embodiments, this report is first a software estimate, which is then reviewed by a human appraiser or project manager who can adjust values. The system is aware of IRS rules that if the donor will claim a deduction > \$5,000, a **qualified appraiser** must provide the official valuation[4]. So the module can package its findings and send them to a network of partner appraisers (for example, via an appraiser portal). The appraiser then uses that

data, possibly visits the site or just trusts the detailed report, and returns a signed appraisal document. The system stores this and will use it in the donation paperwork. The drone-based approach greatly accelerates the inspection phase,capturing in 20 minutes what might take an hour by human walkthrough,and creates a digital record (photos/scans) that can be shared with stakeholders or used in case of IRS audit to justify values. Notably, by integrating drone inspection, the system supports **autonomous appraisal methods** (as in one use-case embodiment described later) where minimal human input is needed to catalogue and value materials.

- **Decision Engine (160):** After valuation, the Decision Engine (160) analyzes the *Deal Structure*. It takes as input the total appraised salvage value and potentially the owner's preferences. It may have a threshold logic like: if the owner is a homeowner (not a developer) and the tax deduction value likely exceeds what we could pay in cash (taking into account resale margins), then recommend donation. Many owners are attracted by avoiding disposal costs and getting a large deduction,for example, if \$50k worth of materials can be donated, the owner might save \$50k * tax_rate (which could be \$20k+ actual tax savings)[10]. The system will highlight that benefit. If the permit is from a developer or company that may not benefit from a deduction (or cannot easily use it), a direct purchase might be better. The engine considers also the **timeline**: donations require appraisal and paperwork but yield a receipt; a purchase can be quicker and guarantees cash. The user (sales rep or automated) can override the suggestion or present both options. In some embodiments, a **hybrid offer** is possible: e.g. part donation, part purchase. The system could, for instance, recommend donating lower-value bulky materials (to save disposal cost and get a deduction) but offer cash for specific high-value items the operator knows it can resell quickly. The Decision Engine formalizes these strategies. It outputs the chosen path and triggers the next module accordingly,if donation, go to preparing nonprofit documents; if sale, prepare a purchase contract.

- **Document Generator (170):** Module 170 uses document templates for each scenario. It merges lead data into the templates to produce PDF files. For example, for donation: it fills in a **Donation Acknowledgment Letter** (with nonprofit's name, donor's name, project address, list of items donated, and a statement that no goods/services were given in exchange, per IRS rules), and prepares Form 8283. For Form 8283 Section B, it enters the appraiser's name, identification, the appraised value, the donee organization info (name, address, EIN), etc. It flags that the appraiser and donee must sign. The system might use e-signature requests for those as well. The module also generates an **Indemnification and Liability Release** for the owner to sign, which typically says that the owner permits the salvage crew to enter the property and remove specified items, holds them harmless for minor damage, etc. For a sale, it generates a Bill of Sale or Purchase Agreement listing the items and purchase price. All documents are linked to the project and accessible via the dashboard. The module can generate these in real-time at the deal closing meeting. With integrated e-sign, the owner can sign on a tablet on site or via an emailed link. Once all required signatures are collected (the system tracks signature status for each document), the module finalizes the documents. In the case of donation, it will wait for the appraiser's signature on the Form 8283; the system may provide the appraiser a special link to sign after the salvage is done and final item tally confirmed. This module significantly reduces administrative overhead,what used to

require manually drafting letters and forms for each project is now instantaneous and templated, ensuring consistency and legal compliance.

- **Dashboard/Monitoring Module (180):** This is the user-facing control panel for the organization running the system. It's essentially the aggregation of the CRM front-end, project tracker, and analytics. Key functions of the dashboard include: a **Leads View** showing all incoming leads (with filters and search), a **Deals View** showing active projects in pipeline stages, and a **Reports View** with metrics. Each lead or deal can be clicked to open a detail pane. The detail includes a timeline of every event (ingested from permit data on X date, called on Y date, inspection done on Z, etc.). It also houses all files (contracts, photos, receipts). The dashboard allows managers to adjust settings too,e.g., updating the permit filter rules, adding new jurisdictions' API keys, editing the outreach message templates, or managing the list of appraisal partners and their credentials. The **audit log** tracks changes for compliance (important if operating as a nonprofit, to have records of communications and materials handled). The monitoring aspect includes a section where data source statuses are shown (e.g. "LADBS feed: OK,last update 2 hours ago" or "Houston permits: ERROR,token expired"). If an alert is triggered (module 110's failsafe detected an issue), it is highlighted in the dashboard (perhaps with an icon and message), and email alerts are sent to admins. In one embodiment, the system can even have a self-healing step: e.g., attempt to fetch a new API token using stored credentials if a feed fails due to authentication,and log that it did so. The dashboard's analytics might show, for instance, that in the last quarter, 30 projects were salvaged, diverting X tons of material, saving owners $Y in disposal costs, yielding $Z in tax deductions and generating $W in resale revenue. These figures help demonstrate the system's value proposition to stakeholders and can be used for continuous improvement (e.g. identifying which city yields the most leads or which outreach methods have highest success).

Alternative Embodiments and Use Cases

The integrated system supports multiple modes of operation and can be adapted for various use cases:

- **Embodiment 1: Nonprofit Deconstruction Donation Processing.** In this embodiment, the system is operated by or in partnership with a nonprofit organization (such as a building material reuse charity). The focus is on maximizing charitable donations of salvaged materials. The system's decision engine defaults to **donation mode** for most projects. Upon identifying a lead, the outreach pitch emphasizes the tax deduction and environmental benefits. The system ensures compliance with IRS requirements: for each donation exceeding $5,000, it obtains a qualified appraisal and prepares Form 8283 Section B[4]. The **Donee Acknowledgment** part of Form 8283 is handled by the nonprofit's authorized user via the e-signature module. This embodiment might integrate directly with the nonprofit's donor database as well, logging the material donation as an in-kind gift and generating a donor receipt. Contractor incentives in this model may include providing the contractor (if they influence the owner to donate) with recognition or small rewards, but primarily the benefit is to the owner and the nonprofit cause. The system in this mode is essentially a lead generator for the nonprofit's salvage operation,

greatly expanding its ability to source materials. An example use case: A homeowner is planning to demolish a house. The system finds the demolition permit, contacts the owner, and the nonprofit offers to deconstruct for free if materials are donated. The owner agrees, the system schedules the project, the nonprofit crew salvages everything, and the owner receives a signed appraisal and Form 8283 for a, say, $50,000 deduction on their taxes[10]. Everyone wins,the owner saves money, the nonprofit gets inventory to resell (funding its mission), and waste is diverted from landfill.

- **Embodiment 2: For-Profit Salvage Purchase Transactions.** In this embodiment, the system is used by a commercial salvage company or contractor interested in acquiring materials to resell for profit. The decision engine here might lean towards **cash offers** rather than donations. The system still leverages permit data to find leads, but the value proposition to owners/contractors is an immediate cash payment or cost savings on their demolition. For instance, the system might offer "We will pay \$X for the right to salvage reusable items before you demolish." The outreach messaging and contract terms differ,instead of donation letters, the emphasis is on quick removal and payment. The system's appraisal module in this case is calculating how much the salvage company can profit from the materials. It may selectively target only high-value opportunities (the filter thresholds might be higher). Once a deal is made, the **Purchase Agreement** includes the price and perhaps revenue sharing terms (some models give the owner a percentage of resale). The CRM and pipeline still function similarly, but the accounting integration might include generating an invoice or initiating payment (through ACH or check issuance) to the property owner after materials are picked up. This for-profit model benefits contractors who might otherwise pay to dispose of materials,instead, they get some money back. An example: A commercial developer is renovating an office and plans to throw out slightly used HVAC equipment and lighting. The system identifies the renovation permit, contacts them, and offers \$5,000 for those materials. The developer accepts a quick sale. The system coordinates removal, the company resells the items for \$8,000, turning a profit. In this scenario, there's no charitable component; the system's value is in efficiently brokering the sale and removal of materials. All the compliance around donation is skipped, but the system still provides insurance proof and liability waivers to protect both parties during the removal.

- **Embodiment 3: Hybrid Contractor-Incentive Models.** This embodiment is geared towards engaging contractors as active participants in the salvage process. Many general contractors might be hesitant to involve third parties in demolition unless there's something in it for them. The system can be configured to offer **incentives to contractors** who facilitate salvage. For example, a contractor who agrees to deconstruction can receive either a portion of the resale revenue or a donation credit in their name. The hybrid model might work like this: The property owner signs over materials for donation (so they get the tax deduction), and the system's nonprofit issues the tax receipt to the owner. Meanwhile, the contractor who allowed extra time in the schedule for deconstruction gets a **referral fee** or a small donation made in the contractor's name to a charity (for PR purposes). Alternatively, if the contractor is the permit holder and property owner (common in flips), the system could split the benefits: some materials handled as donation (with deduction to contractor) and some purchased. The system's flexibility allows structuring such deals on a case-by-case basis. To support

this, the **CRM module** might track not just projects but also relationships,e.g., tracking which contractors frequently collaborate. A competitive leaderboard can be extended to contractors externally: "Contractor A has diverted 100 tons this year via our program",useful for public recognition. The system could generate **certificate awards** or marketing materials the contractor can use (like "Certified Green Demo Partner"). This encourages more contractors to say "yes" when approached. Essentially, the hybrid model uses the system's automation to make it easy and rewarding for contractors to participate in salvage, blending profit motive with charitable motive. The system might maintain profiles for contractors (like a CRM of partners) and record what incentives (referral bonuses, etc.) were given for each project, tying into the accounting system.

- **Embodiment 4: Autonomous Appraisal via Indoor/Outdoor Drones.** In this use case, the emphasis is on minimizing human labor in the appraisal process by relying on **autonomous drones and AI**. The system can be deployed as a service where a kit is sent to a job site: e.g., a self-flying drone in a case. The property owner or contractor opens the case, and with minimal instruction, the drone launches itself and scans the property (using pre-configured flight routes generated from floor plans or simply wall-following algorithms). The data is uploaded via cellular connection to the cloud where the system's AI immediately processes it and returns a report. No human assessor visits the site. This could be extremely useful for rapid assessments, especially in situations like disaster recovery (where many structures need evaluating) or when projects are spread out geographically. The AI models in this embodiment are highly trained to recognize material types (perhaps using thermal imaging or 3D point clouds to differentiate material). For example, the drone might use a combination of RGB camera and LiDAR to create a 3D model of the building, then the system identifies all the lumber of certain dimensions, calculates board-feet, and values it, plus identifies appliances by shape (fridge vs oven) and brand (maybe via image recognition of logos) to value them. This embodiment might involve multiple smaller drones,e.g., one drone for indoors, one for roof,working in tandem. The output is so reliable that in some cases it could even directly populate the official appraisal (subject to an appraiser's remote sign-off). The system here showcases a futuristic scenario of **autonomous deconstruction appraisal**, reducing costs and time. The rest of the pipeline (outreach, decision, etc.) then proceeds based on this fast appraisal. This approach can unlock scalability,one could evaluate dozens of properties in a day with only one human overseeing the drone fleet remotely.

- **Embodiment 5: Multi-Jurisdictional and Data Co-op Model.** (An additional scenario) The system can be operated as a shared platform among multiple municipalities or organizations. For example, a regional waste authority could use it to aggregate data from many cities. The ingestion module would pull from all member cities' permit systems. The leads could then be routed to different salvage teams based on location. This embodiment might include a **GIS map view** on the dashboard, showing pins for each lead location color-coded by status. It might also incorporate more data sources,e.g., tax assessor records to get building age (older buildings often have more valuable old-growth lumber, etc.), or utility data (to predict if a structure is being demolished based on disconnections). The system's modular design allows plugging in these extra data streams. Operating as a co-op or network, the platform could facilitate **material marketplaces**: e.g., if one crew salvages 1000 square feet of hardwood flooring, the

system can list that inventory on an exchange that others (builders, consumers) can bid on. This extends the system beyond just acquisition to also include resale management. Such an extension is facilitated by the detailed catalog the system builds during appraisal.

Each of these embodiments leverages the core system but tweaks certain components to suit the use case (nonprofit vs profit focus, who gets incentives, level of autonomy, etc.). The modular nature (data ingestion, CRM, etc.) remains consistent, making the invention versatile.

[1] [2] [10] Deconstruction — Re-use Hawai'i

https://www.reusehawaii.org/deconstruction

[3] [4] When Is Form 8283 Required? - Green Donation Consultants

https://greendonation.com/when-is-form-8283-required/

[5] LADBS Permit Valuation - GOVSTAT | Los Angeles - Open Data Portal

https://data.lacity.org/Community-Economic-Development/LADBS-Permit-Valuation-GOVSTAT/w53t-rwwp

[6] [9] LADBS Permit Type and Status Guide | CCS Inc. Construction Consulting Services

https://www.laconstructioncompliance.com/ladbs-permit-type-and-status-guide/

[7] Shovels | Building Contractor and Permit API

https://www.shovels.ai/api

[8] Permit Scraper & Enrichment Tool | Freelancer

https://www.freelancer.com/projects/python/permit-scraper-enrichment-tool

Figures